

## **Comments**

## Claim Rejections based on 35 USC § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 67-85 based on 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 67-85 are cancelled, and new claims 86-104 now particularly point out and distinctly claim that the applicant's invention is disposable photometrical micro sensors for determining the concentration of an analyte from 0.5 microliter or less of volume of a blood sample.

Examiner's concerns regarding the confusing statements in claims 67 and 75 have also been addressed by re-writing the claims.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 67-85 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry and Pugh.

Curry and Pugh did not mention or claim any features of the applicant's minimally invasive biosensors' functionality. For this reason, applicant contends that Curry and Pugh should not be used to reject the claimed invention.

Very respectfully,

Tom C. Xu

**Applicant** 

21010 Sherman Drive

Castro Valley, CA 94552

Tel. (510) 888-9627